Desynchronization Transitions in Adaptive Networks
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Adaptive networks change their connectivity with time, depending on their dynamical state. While synchronization in structurally static networks has been studied extensively, this problem is much more challenging for adaptive networks. In this Letter, we develop the master stability approach for a large class of adaptive networks. This approach allows for reducing the synchronization problem for adaptive networks to a low-dimensional system, by decoupling topological and dynamical properties. We show how the interplay between adaptivity and network structure gives rise to the formation of stability islands. Moreover, we report a desynchronization transition and the emergence of complex partial synchronization patterns induced by an increasing overall coupling strength. We illustrate our findings using adaptive networks of coupled phase oscillators and FitzHugh-Nagumo neurons with synaptic plasticity.
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In nature and technology, complex networks serve as a ubiquitous paradigm with a broad range of applications from physics, chemistry, biology, neuroscience, socioeconomic, and other systems [1]. Dynamical networks are composed of interacting dynamical units, such as, e.g., neurons or lasers. Collective behavior in dynamical networks has attracted much attention over the last decades. Depending on the network and the specific dynamical system, various synchronization patterns of increasing complexity were explored [2–5]. Even in simple models of coupled oscillators, patterns such as complete synchronization [6], cluster synchronization [7–11], and various forms of partial synchronization have been found, such as frequency clusters [12], solitary [13], or chimera states [14–22]. In brain networks, particularly, synchronization is believed to play a crucial role: for instance, under normal conditions in the context of cognition and learning [23,24] and under pathological conditions, such as Parkinson’s disease [25], epilepsy [26–30], tinnitus [31,32], and schizophrenia, to name a few [33]. Also in power grid networks, synchronization is essential for the stable operation [34–37].

The powerful methodology of the master stability function [38] has been a milestone for the analysis of synchronization phenomena. This method allows for separating dynamical from structural features for a given dynamical network. It drastically simplifies the problem by reducing the dimension and unifying the synchronization study for different networks. Since its introduction, the master stability approach has been extended and refined for multilayer [39], multiplex [40,41], and hypernetworks [42,43], to account for single and distributed delays [44–49], and to describe the stability of clustered states [50–53]. The master stability function has been used to understand effects in temporal [54,55] as well as adaptive networks [56] within a static formalism. Beyond the local stability described by the master stability function, Belykh et al. have developed the connection graph stability method to provide analytic bounds for the global asymptotic stability of synchronized states [57–60]. Despite the apparent vivid interest in the stability features of synchronous states on complex networks, only little is known about the effects induced by an adaptive network structure. This lack of knowledge is even more surprising regarding how important adaptive networks are for the modeling of real-world systems.

Adaptive networks are commonly used models for synaptic plasticity [61–66], which determines learning, memory, and development in neural circuits. Moreover, adaptive networks have been reported for chemical [67,68], epidemic [69], biological [70], transport [71], and social systems [72,73]. A paradigmatic example of adaptively coupled phase oscillators has recently attracted much attention [12,41,74–81], and it appears to be useful for predicting and describing phenomena in more realistic and detailed models [82–85]. Systems of phase oscillators are important for understanding synchronization phenomena in a wide range of applications [86–88].

In this Letter, we report on a surprising desynchronization transition induced by an adaptive network structure. We find various parameter regimes of partial synchronization during the transition from the synchronized to an incoherent state. The partial synchronization phenomena include multifrequency-cluster and chimeralike states.
By going beyond the static network paradigm, we develop a master stability approach for networks with adaptive coupling. We show how the adaptivity of the network gives rise to the emergence of stability islands in the master stability function that result in the desynchronization transition. With this, we establish a general framework to study those transitions for a wide range of dynamical systems. In order to provide analytic insights, we use the generalized Kuramoto-Sakaguchi system on an adaptive and complex network. Finally, we show that our findings also hold for a more realistic neuronal setup of coupled FitzHugh-Nagumo neurons with synaptic plasticity.

We consider the following general class of $N$ adaptively coupled systems [12,41,74–80,89]:

$$\dot{x}_i = f(x_i) - \sigma \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{ij} \kappa_{ij} g(x_i, x_j),$$  

(1)

$$\dot{\kappa}_{ij} = -\varepsilon [\kappa_{ij} + a_{ij} h(x_i - x_j)],$$  

(2)

where $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $i = 1, \ldots, N$, is the $d$-dimensional dynamical variable of the $i$th node, $f(x_i)$ describes the local dynamics of each node, and $g(x_i, x_j)$ is the coupling function. The coupling is weighted by scalar variables $\kappa_{ij}$, which are adapted dynamically according to Eq. (2) with the nonlinear adaptation function $h(x_i - x_j)$. We assume that the adaptation depends on the difference of the corresponding dynamical variables, similar to the neuronal spike timing-dependent plasticity [62,63,90,91]. The base connectivity structure is given by the matrix elements $a_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}$ of the $N \times N$ adjacency matrix $A$, which possesses a constant row sum $r$, i.e., $r = \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{ij}$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, N$. The assumption of the constant row sum is necessary to allow for synchronization. The Laplacian matrix is $L = rI_N - A$ where $I_N$ is the $N$-dimensional identity matrix. The eigenvalues of $L$ are called Laplacian eigenvalues of the network. The parameter $\sigma > 0$ defines the overall coupling strength, and $\varepsilon > 0$ is a timescale separation parameter. In particular, if the adaptation is slower than the local dynamics, the parameter $\varepsilon$ is small.

Complete synchronization is defined by the $N - 1$ constraints $x_1 = x_2 = \cdots = x_N$. Denoting the synchronization state by $x_i(t) = s(t)$ and $\kappa_{ij} = \kappa_{ij}^s$, we obtain from Eqs. (1) and (2) the following equations for $s(t)$ and $\kappa_{ij}^s$:

$$\dot{s} = f(s) + \sigma rh(0)g(s, s),$$  

(3)

$$\dot{\kappa}_{ij}^s = -a_{ij} h(0).$$  

(4)

In particular, we see that $s(t)$ satisfies the dynamical equation (3), and $\kappa_{ij}^s$ are either $-h(0)$ or zero, if the corresponding link in the base connectivity structure exists ($a_{ij} = 1$) or not ($a_{ij} = 0$), respectively.

To describe the local stability of the synchronous state, we introduce the variations $\xi_i = x_i - s$ and $\chi_{ij} = \kappa_{ij} - \kappa_{ij}^s$. The linearized equations for these variations can be written in a matrix form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\xi} \\ \dot{\chi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} S & -\sigma B \otimes g(s, s) \\ -\varepsilon C \otimes Dh(0) & -\varepsilon \mathbb{I}_N \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix},$$  

(5)

where $\xi = (\xi_1^T, \ldots, \xi_N^T)^T$, $\chi = (\chi_1, \chi_2, \ldots, \chi_{NN})^T$ are $Nd$ and $N^2$-dimensional vectors, respectively. $Df$ and $Dh$ are the Jacobians of $f$ and $h$, respectively, $D_1 g$ and $D_2 g$ are the Jacobians of $g$ with respect to the first and the second variable, respectively, and the constant matrices $B (N \times N^2)$ and $C (N^2 \times N)$ are given in [92].

System (5) is used to calculate the Lyapunov exponents of the synchronous state; it possesses very high dimension $N^2 + Nd$. However, the Jacobian matrix in (5) is sparse with a large $N^2 \times N^2$ block given by the simple diagonal matrix $-\varepsilon \mathbb{I}_N$. This implies that (5) possesses $N^2 - N$ stable directions with Lyapunov exponents $-\varepsilon$. To find these directions, we substitute $(\xi, \eta) = e^{\varepsilon t}(\xi_0, \eta_0)$ into (5) and obtain the linear system

$$\begin{pmatrix} S + \varepsilon^2 I_N & -\sigma B \otimes g(s, s) \\ -\varepsilon C \otimes Dh(0) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_0 \\ \chi_0 \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$  

(6)

This system possesses at least $N^2 - N$ linearly independent solutions, since the matrix in (6) is degenerate due to the large zero block [92].

Such a structure of the invariant subspaces in system (5) allows for introducing new coordinates, which separate the $N^2 - N$ stable directions from the remaining $N(d + 1)$ directions. With these new coordinates, we reduce the system’s dimension significantly. Moreover, as in the classical master stability approach, we diagonalize the $N(d + 1)$-dimensional master system into blocks of $d + 1$ dimensions. Hence, the dynamics in each block is described by the new coordinates $\xi$ and $\kappa$, which are $d$- and one-dimensional dynamical variables, respectively. For further details and the proof of the master stability function, we refer the reader to the Supplemental Material [92]. Our analysis shows that the coupling structure enters just as a complex parameter $\mu$, the network’s Laplacian eigenvalue.

As a result, the stability problem is reduced to the largest Lyapunov exponent $\Lambda(\mu)$, depending on a complex parameter $\mu$, for the following system:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\xi} \\ \dot{\kappa} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Df(s) + \sigma rh(0) \left[ D_1 g(s, s) \\ 1 - \frac{\mu}{r} D_2 g(s, s) \right] \\ -\varepsilon C \otimes Dh(0) \end{pmatrix} \xi - \sigma g(s, s) \kappa,$$  

(7)
\[ \dot{k} = -\epsilon \mu Dh(0) [\xi + \kappa]. \] (8)

The function \( \Lambda(\mu) \) is called master stability function. Note that the first bracketed term in \( \zeta \) of (7) resembles the master stability approach for static networks, which, in this case, is equipped by an additional interaction representing the adaptation. Furthermore, the shape of the master stability function depends on the choice of \( \sigma \) and \( r \) explicitly. In case of diffusive coupling, i.e., \( g(x, y) = g(x - y) \), the master stability function can be expressed as \( \Lambda(\sigma \mu) \) such that the shape of \( \Lambda \) scales linearly with the coupling constant \( \sigma \).

To obtain analytic insights into the stability features of synchronous states that are induced by an adaptive coupling structure, we consider the following model of \( N \) adaptively coupled phase oscillators [12, 76]:

\[ \dot{\phi}_i = \omega - \sigma \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{ij} \xi_{ij} \sin(\phi_i - \phi_j + \alpha), \] (9)
\[ \dot{\xi}_{ij} = -\epsilon \xi_{ij} + a_{ij} \sin(\phi_i - \phi_j + \beta)], \] (10)

where \( \phi_i \) represents the phase of the \( i \)th oscillator, \( \omega \) is its natural frequency, which we set to zero in a rotating frame. The phase-lag \( \alpha \) can be regarded as propagation delay in the context of neuronal systems [93].

The synchronous state of (9) and (10) is given by \( s(t) = (\sigma \alpha \sin \alpha \sin \beta) t \) and \( \xi_{ij} = -a_{ij} \sin \beta \). Using (7) and (8), the stability of the synchronous state is described by the quadratic characteristic polynomial

\[ \lambda^2 + [\epsilon - \sigma \mu \cos(\alpha) \sin(\beta)] \lambda - \epsilon \sigma \mu \sin(\alpha + \beta) = 0. \] (11)

The master stability function for the synchronous state is given as the maximum real part \( \Lambda = \max \text{Re}(\lambda_{1,2}) \) of the solutions \( \lambda_{1,2} \) of the polynomial (11). These solutions \( \lambda_{1,2} \) should be considered as functions of the complex parameter \( \mu \) determining the network structure. It is convenient, however, to use the parameter \( \sigma \mu \) in our case.

Figure 1 displays the master stability function determined for different adaptation rules controlled by \( \beta \). The blue colored areas correspond to regions that lead to stable dynamics. By changing the control parameter \( \beta \), various shapes of the stable regions are visible. For some parameters, e.g., Figs. 1(c)–1(e), almost a whole half-space either left or right of the imaginary axis belongs to the stable regime. This resembles the case of no adaptation where the stability of the synchronous state is solely described by the sign of the real part of \( \sigma \mu \sin \beta \cos \alpha \), see Figs. 1(a) and 1(b). Note that, in the case of no adaptation (\( \epsilon = 0 \)), there exist \( N^2 \) neutral directions with zero eigenvalues that do not affect the stability and correspond to the variations of the coupling weights. We also find parameters where most values \( \sigma \mu \) correspond to unstable dynamics, except for an island, i.e., a bounded region in \( \sigma \mu \) parameter space, see Fig. 1(f).

To understand the emergence of the stability islands, we analyze the boundary that separates the stable (\( \Lambda < 0 \)) from the unstable region (\( \Lambda > 0 \)). This boundary is given by the condition \( \Lambda = \text{Re} \lambda = 0 \), or, equivalently, \( \lambda = i \gamma \). Substituting this into Eq. (11), we obtain a parametrized expression for the boundary as a function of \( \gamma \) that has the form \( \sigma \mu = Z(\gamma) \), with \( Z(\gamma) \) given explicitly in the Supplemental Material [92]. The latter parametrization of the boundary is displayed in Fig. 1 as the solid black line. It is straightforward to show that a stability island exists if \( \sin(\alpha + \beta) / (\cos \alpha \sin \beta) < 0 \). The latter condition indicates a certain balance between the coupling and adaptation function. We emphasize that the emergence of stability islands is a direct consequence of adaptation. Without adaptation, the boundary simplifies to the axis \( \text{Re} \mu = 0 \), see Figs. 1(a) and 1(b). Intuitively, the presence of adaptivity, i.e., Eq. (8), provides a feedback mechanism that can change the stability (e.g., by an additional effective phase lag), and hence gives rise to the emergence of stability islands of the master stability function.

In the following, we analyze the behavior of the adaptive network of phase oscillators (9) and (10) in the presence of a stability island and show how such an island introduces a desynchronization transition with increasing overall coupling \( \sigma \). To measure the coherence, we use the cluster parameter \( R_c \) [76, 79], which is given by the number of pairwise coherent oscillators normalized by the total number of pairs \( N^2 \). In the case of complete synchronization, frequency clustering, or incoherence, the cluster...
In summary, we have developed a master stability approach for a general class of adaptive networks. This approach allows for studying the subtle interplay between nodal dynamics, adaptivity, and a complex network structure. The master stability approach has been first applied to a paradigmatic model of adaptively coupled phase oscillators. We have presented several typical forms of the master stability function for different adaptation rules and observed adaptivity-induced stability islands. Besides, we have shown that stability islands give rise to the emergence of chimeralike states.
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strength. Qualitatively, the same phenomena have been
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coupled FitzHugh-Nagumo neurons with synaptic plasticity. In this setup, the emergence of a stability island and a

Theoretical approach introduced in this Letter provides a powerful tool to study collective effects in more realistic
neuronal network models, including synaptic plasticity [32,82]. While our approach is presented for differentiable models, it might be generalized to non-
continuous models of spiking neurons equipped with spike
timing-dependent plasticity [90,91]. Our generalized
master stability approach relies on a reduction method that
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systems [102]. Beyond neuronal networks, adaptation is a
well-known control paradigm [103–106]. Our extended
master stability approach provides a generalized framework
to study various adaptive control schemes for a wide range
of dynamical systems.
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