Effective Langevin equations for a polar tracer in an active bath
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Abstract
We study the motion of a polar tracer, having a concave surface, immersed in a two-dimensional suspension of active particles. Using Brownian dynamics simulations, we measure the distributions and auto-correlation functions of force and torque exerted by active particles on the tracer. The tracer experiences a finite average force along its polar axis, while all the correlation functions show exponential decay in time. Using these insights we construct the full coarse-grained Langevin description for tracer position and orientation, where the active particles are subsumed into an effective self-propulsion force and exponentially correlated noise for both translations and rotations. The ensuing mesoscopic dynamics can be described in terms of five dimensionless parameters. We perform a thorough parameter study of the mean squared displacement, which illustrates how the different parameters influence the tracer dynamics, which crosses over from a ballistic to diffusive motion. We also demonstrate that the distribution of tracer displacements evolves from a non-Gaussian shape at early stages to a Gaussian behavior for sufficiently long times. Finally, for a given set of microscopic parameters, we establish a procedure to estimate the matching parameters of our effective model, and show that the resulting dynamics is in a very good quantitative agreement with the one obtained in Brownian dynamics simulations.

1. Introduction

In recent years active motion has evolved into a thriving field combining different disciplines from physics and chemistry to biology and engineering sciences [1–4]. Microorganisms swim in a fluid environment at low Reynolds number, meaning that viscous forces dictate over inertial forces. Tremendous research activities have been devoted to better understand their propulsion mechanisms [2, 5, 6], as well as to construct artificial microswimmers [7–10] and to explore their fascinating patterns of collective motion [11–15]. Artificial or biological microswimmers, which we simply term active particles, consume energy to swim forward, and therefore are constantly driven out of equilibrium. Fascinating generic properties arise in such nonequilibrium settings, as illustrated, for example, by active particles getting stuck at confining walls [16–20], on which they exert a swim pressure [21–25].

Combining active motion with concepts from Brownian ratchets, one of the existing paradigms in nonequilibrium statistical mechanics [26], provides new possibilities of rectified motion [27]. In the direction of applications the following works are of interest: capturing active particles [28, 29], sorting active particles based on their velocity [30] or the mechanism how they reorient [31], effective interactions between inclusions in active suspensions [32–37], cargo transport [38, 39] and active assembly [40–42]. Active particles accumulate in corners, which causes directed transport through a wall of funnels [43, 44], in an asymmetric potential [45, 46], or in a symmetric potential in combination with a position-dependent swimming speed [47], in a corrugated channel [48], and in arrays of asymmetric obstacles [49].

When many active particles act on a mesoscopic object, they can be regarded as a nonequilibrium active bath, which is strongly determined by fluctuations in the swimming directions of particles. Rotational and translational ratchet motors can be constructed by placing asymmetric objects in active baths. Notably, a wheel with sawtooth-like contour deposited in an active bath exhibits unidirectional rotation [50–52]. When passive mesoscopic objects, which do not self-propel, are suspended in such a bath, they are
stochastically pushed around, and, more importantly, their motion can even be rectified if they have a polar shape and a pronounced concave surface [53]. In what follows we shall refer to such a mesoscopic object as a polar tracer. Well known examples include semicircle forms and wedge-like structures [53–55]. The directed motion of the tracer can be explained by the fact that a portion of active particles, trapped within some cavity of the object, exercise certain pressure on the surface of the cavity and thus they push the object in the outward direction as illustrated in figure 1. Thereby, the polar tracers are endowed with substantial persistence of motion and can act as microshuttles [53–55]. Contrarily, spherical tracers in an active bath display only enhanced diffusive motion [56–65].

Most theoretical studies [53–55] performed so far were based on methods of Brownian dynamics simulations, replicating a collection of active particles interacting with the tracer. An alternative approach is the extraction of effective Langevin equations from the microscopic many-particle dynamics, which is a long term goal of theoreticians both in and out of thermal equilibrium. Specifically, for an active bath it remains a challenge to describe the motion of a polar tracer or of even more complicated structures by mesoscopic equations. Due to the nonequilibrium nature of the bath [66], it is clear that the standard Langevin equation is not appropriate in this case. It has been shown, for instance, that the motion of a spherical tracer in a bath of *E. coli* bacteria can be described by a Langevin equation containing instantaneous friction kernel and colored noise [53, 56, 63, 67]. Such noise can be generated by an auxiliary Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process [68] and it brings the system outside of thermodynamic equilibrium.

In this article we develop an effective Langevin description for a polar tracer with a concave surface immersed in an active bath (see figure 1). To determine the coarse-grained active noise resulting from the impact of the active bath particles, we performed simulations based on Brownian dynamics equations by extending previous studies. Our simulations support previous findings [53, 55] concerning the existence of a finite average force acting along tracer’s symmetry axis and the exponential time decay of relevant correlation functions. In addition, we demonstrate that the cross-correlation function between the torque and the force acting perpendicularly on the tracer’s symmetry axis is always negative, but decays exponentially with time as well. Based on these insights we propose a complete description of the tracer motion with effective Langevin equations. More precisely, we show that the previous complex problem of many-body Brownian dynamics can be reduced to a simple system of three stochastic equations of Langevin type. Using this approach, we performed a detailed study of the tracer mean squared displacement (MSD) and its displacement probability distributions as a function of time. We show for the first time that the distribution of tracer displacements crosses over from a non-Gaussian at early stages of evolution to a Gaussian behavior for sufficiently long times.

The article is organized as follows: after the introduction, section 2 is devoted to the presentation of our model and its description in the frameworks of Brownian dynamics and effective Langevin equations approach. In section 3 we present our results together with an extensive discussion of the mean squared tracer displacement and associated probability distributions. Some concluding remarks and a summary of the main results are given in section 4. Finally, in appendix A we describe some technical details of our Brownian dynamics simulations.

2. Model

To introduce the quantities of interest for the coarse-grained dynamics, we start with a description of the problem within the framework of Brownian dynamics. Thus we begin with the general equations of tracer
motion in the overdamped limit. In the lab frame, the vector \( \mathbf{r} = (x, y) \) denotes the center of mass position of the tracer and the direction of its symmetry axis \( \mathbf{e}_1 \) is characterized by an angle \( \theta \) (see figure 1). In dyadic notation the translational mobility matrix \( \mathbf{M} \) of the tracer in its eigenframe can be written as

\[
\mathbf{M} = \mu_\parallel \mathbf{e}_1 \otimes \mathbf{e}_1 + \mu_\perp (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{e}_1 \otimes \mathbf{e}_1),
\]

where \( \mu_\parallel \) and \( \mu_\perp \) are translational scalar mobilities, and \( \mathbf{I} \) is the unit matrix. In the overdamped limit, where inertial contributions are negligible, the equations of motion of the tracer are

\[
\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{M} \mathbf{F},
\]

\[
\dot{\vartheta} = \kappa T.
\]

Here, the tracer velocity \( \mathbf{V} \) and the force \( \mathbf{F} \) acting on it, in the eigenframe take the form \( \mathbf{V} = v_\parallel \mathbf{e}_1 + v_\perp \mathbf{e}_\perp \) and \( \mathbf{F} = F_\parallel \mathbf{e}_\parallel + F_\perp \mathbf{e}_\perp \), respectively. The quantity \( \vartheta \) and \( T = T_0 = T(\mathbf{e}_1 \times \mathbf{e}_\perp) \) are the angular velocity of the tracer and the torque exerted by active particles on it, while \( \kappa \) denotes its rotational mobility. For future convenience, we also introduce a typical length \( \ell \) of the tracer connecting the mobilities \( \mu_\perp \) and \( \kappa \) through the relation

\[
\ell = \mu_\perp / \kappa.
\]

To characterize the fluctuating force and torque, which result from the active particles hitting the polar tracer, we performed Brownian dynamics simulations of a semicircle tracer immersed in a bath of active Brownian particles [69]. The technical details of simulations are given in appendix A. As one can infer from figure 2 (top row, left) the probability distribution of force \( F_\parallel \) has a Gaussian profile centered at a finite mean (similar results were reported in [53]), where the motion of a wedge shaped tracer in a bath of active rods has been studied). One can also see that the auto-correlation function \( C_{\parallel}(t) = \langle F_\parallel(t_0)F_\parallel(t_0 + t) \rangle - \langle F_\parallel(t_0) \rangle^2 \) in the stationary regime (top row, right) decays with time following an exponential law; similar behavior has been observed in [53]. As the graph shows, the characteristic time of this decay is of the order \( \tau_F \), which suggests that the perpendicular force can be taken in the simple form

\[
\mathbf{F} = F_\perp \mathbf{e}_\perp + \mathbf{F}_\parallel \mathbf{e}_\parallel,
\]

where the characteristic length \( \kappa \tau_F \) linking \( T \) and \( \xi_\perp \) can be deduced using dimensional analysis; here we have introduced the new length \( l_F = \sqrt{D_\perp / D_\parallel} \) with \( D_\parallel \) being the tracer’s rotational diffusion constant. Let us mention in passing that, in contrast to the case of \( F_\parallel \), the force \( F_\perp \) and the torque \( T \) are not mutually correlated.

Taking into account the above considerations, after transforming the equation (2) into the lab frame, we obtain the following system of three stochastic equations for the polar tracer

\[
\dot{x} = \mu_\parallel (F_\parallel + \xi_\parallel) \cos \theta - \mu_\perp \xi_\perp \sin \theta,
\]

\[
\dot{y} = \mu_\parallel (F_\parallel + \xi_\parallel) \sin \theta + \mu_\perp \xi_\perp \cos \theta,
\]

\[
\dot{\vartheta} = \kappa T.
\]
Figure 2. The probability distribution $P_{∥}$ of the force $F_{∥}$ acting on the tracer is presented on the left side of the top row; the force is measured in units of $k_B T / \sigma$, where $T$ is the temperature of the bath and $\sigma$ is the characteristic length of the interaction potential between the active particles. The solid black line is the best fit of $P_{∥}$ to a Gaussian distribution; note that $\langle F_{∥} \rangle > 0$, which means that it has a positive projection on the polar axis $e_{∥}$ of figure 1. On the right side of the top row the scaled auto-correlation function $C_{∥}(t) = C_{∥}(t)/C_{∥}(0)$ for $F_{∥}(t)$ is shown (light gray symbols), together with its fit to the exponential form $e^{-t/\tau_{∥}}$ (black solid line); note that the time is measured in units of the persistence time, $\tau_{R}$, of an active particle, discussed in the appendix A. The middle row presents the corresponding data for $P_{⊥}$ and $C_{⊥}(t)$. Finally in the bottom row we presented the behavior of $P_{T}$ and $C_{T}$. Note that the mean values of the perpendicular force and torque acting on the tracer are vanishing, $\langle F_{⊥} \rangle = 0$ and $\langle T \rangle = 0$; the torque is measured in units of thermal energy $k_B T$. According to our observations the values of all three correlation times $\tau_{∥}$, $\tau_{⊥}$, and $\tau_{T}$ are approximately $0.45 \tau_{R}$. All results presented in this panel correspond to an active bath having the area packing fraction $\phi \approx 0.08$ and the persistence number $\text{Per} = 80/3$ of active particles (see appendix A for definitions of $\phi$ and $\text{Per}$ and more details).

\begin{align*}
\dot{\theta} &= -\frac{\mu_{⊥}}{l} \xi_{∥}, \quad (8)
\end{align*}

In these equations we neglected the usual thermal noise because we confined ourselves to the physically most interesting case of large speed of active particles. To generate the exponentially correlated noises $\xi_{∥}$ and $\xi_{⊥}$ of equation (4) with exactly the same parameters, we use two auxiliary Ornstein–Uhlenbeck processes \[68\]

\begin{align*}
\dot{\xi}_{α} &= -\frac{1}{τ_{α}} \left( \xi_{α} + \sqrt{2D_{α}} \eta_{α} \right) \quad \text{with} \quad α = ∥, ⊥,
\end{align*}

where $\eta_{α}$ are Gaussian white noises of zero mean and unit variance: $\langle \eta_{α} \rangle = 0$, $\langle \eta_{α}(t)\eta_{β}(t') \rangle = δ_{αβ}\delta(t-t')$.

We use the typical extent of the tracer $l$ as the unit of length, persistence time $τ_{∥}$ of the noise as the unit of time, and we measure forces in units of the effective self-propulsion force $⟨F_{∥}\rangle$. Now, keeping the same notation, the equations (6)–(9) can be rewritten in the dimensionless form:

\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= P \left[ (1 + ξ_{∥}) \cos θ - ξ_{⊥} \sin θ \right], \quad (10)
\dot{y} &= P \left[ (1 + ξ_{∥}) \sin θ + ξ_{⊥} \cos θ \right], \quad (11)
\dot{θ} &= -\frac{P}{b} ξ_{⊥}, \quad (12)
\end{align*}
presented in section 3.1. Of course more detailed characterization of tracer’s motion is provided by the probability distributions of its displacements. We explore them in section 3.2. Finally, in section 3.3 we analyze the motion of the tracer by computing its MSD:

$\langle \mathbf{\Delta r}^2(t) \rangle = \langle (\mathbf{r}(t + \mathcal{T}) - \mathbf{r} \mathcal{T})^2 \rangle \mathcal{T}$, where the averaging is performed over different initial times $\mathcal{T}$ and over 100 independent simulation runs. Our results span over several decades in time. In the following we evaluate how the MSD changes with varying each of the above dimensionless parameters (15).

The MSD obtained for different values of the persistence number $P$ and over 100 independent simulation runs is shown in figure 4. As one can infer from figure 4 the MSD displays a ballistic behavior, $\langle \mathbf{\Delta r}^2(t) \rangle \sim t^2$, for short times $t/\tau_\parallel \lesssim 1$, for our

$\langle \mathbf{\Delta r}^2(t) \rangle = \langle (\mathbf{r}(t + \mathcal{T}) - \mathbf{r} \mathcal{T})^2 \rangle \mathcal{T}$. Here, the persistence number $P$ quantifies the effective persistence length $\mu_\parallel \mathbf{F} \mathcal{T} \mathcal{T}$, which is the distance the tracer traverses in roughly the same direction. The parameter $Q$ is the ratio of two timescales: the persistence time $\tau_\parallel$ and the time $\mathcal{T} / D^\parallel$ it takes the tracer to diffuse its own length $l$ due to active noise. The parameters $d$ and $w$ describe the ratios of diffusion constants and persistence times of the active noise along $\parallel$ and $\perp$ directions, respectively. Finally, $b$ denotes the characteristic length $l$ that we introduced earlier measured in units of $l$. It is useful to note that all these dimensionless parameters depend on the geometry of the tracer and the active bath properties. Let us add yet that in writing the above dimensionless equations we removed the parameter $\mu_\parallel / \mu_\perp$ by absorbing it into the definition of the perpendicular component of noise: $\xi_\perp / \mu_\perp \rightarrow \xi_\perp$.

Figure 3. The scaled cross-correlation function between the perpendicular force and torque acting on the tracer, $C_{F_T}(t) = C_{F_T}(t)/|C_{F_T}(0)|$, versus time measured in units of $\tau_e$ (light gray symbols); note that $F_T$ and $T$ are anti-correlated, $C_{F_T} < 0$. The solid black curve represents the best fit of $C_{F_T}$ data to the exponential form $-e^{-t/\tau_e}$, where $\tau_e$ is the characteristic cross-correlation time. The simulation data were obtained for the same values of bath parameters as those in figure 2.

\begin{align}
\dot{\xi}_\parallel &= -\xi_\parallel + \frac{2Q}{P} \eta_\parallel, \\
\dot{\xi}_\perp &= -w \xi_\perp + \frac{w}{P} \sqrt{\frac{2Q}{d}} \eta_\perp,
\end{align}

where we introduced five independent dimensionless parameters:

$$P = \frac{\mu_\parallel |\mathbf{F}| \mathcal{T} \mathcal{T} \mathcal{T}}{l}, \quad Q = \frac{D^\parallel \mathcal{T} \mathcal{T}}{P}, \quad d = \frac{D^\perp}{D^\parallel}, \quad w = \frac{\tau_\parallel}{\tau_\perp}, \quad b = \frac{l}{l}.$$

Here, the persistence number $P$ quantifies the effective persistence length $\mu_\parallel |\mathbf{F}| \mathcal{T} \mathcal{T} \mathcal{T}$, which is the distance the tracer traverses in roughly the same direction. The parameter $Q$ is the ratio of two timescales: the persistence time $\tau_\parallel$ and the time $\mathcal{T} / D^\parallel$ it takes the tracer to diffuse its own length $l$ due to active noise. The parameters $d$ and $w$ describe the ratios of diffusion constants and persistence times of the active noise along $\parallel$ and $\perp$ directions, respectively. Finally, $b$ denotes the characteristic length $l$ that we introduced earlier measured in units of $l$. It is useful to note that all these dimensionless parameters depend on the geometry of the tracer and the active bath properties. Let us add yet that in writing the above dimensionless equations we removed the parameter $\mu_\parallel / \mu_\perp$ by absorbing it into the definition of the perpendicular component of noise: $\xi_\perp / \mu_\perp \rightarrow \xi_\perp$.

The stochastic equations (10)–(14) are integrated using a simple Euler scheme with a time step of $\delta t / \tau_\parallel = 10^{-3}$. The simulation time goes up to $t / \tau_\parallel = 2000$, and the results are averaged over 100 independent simulation runs for each parameter set.

### 3. Results

One of the most important characteristics of tracer movement is the behavior of its MSD, which will be presented in section 3.1. Of course more detailed characterization of tracer’s motion is provided by probability distributions of its displacements. We explore them in section 3.2. Finally, in section 3.3 we present a procedure which allows us to estimate the parameters of our effective Langevin model from direct Brownian dynamics simulations. We then demonstrate that the time evolution of probability distribution of displacements obtained in our model is in a very good quantitative agreement with the one acquired from Brownian dynamics simulations.

#### 3.1. Mean squared displacement

We analyze the motion of the tracer by computing its MSD: $\langle \mathbf{\Delta r}^2(t) \rangle = \langle (\mathbf{r}(t + \mathcal{T}) - \mathbf{r} \mathcal{T})^2 \rangle \mathcal{T}$, where the averaging is performed over different initial times $\mathcal{T}$ and over 100 independent simulation runs. Our results span over several decades in time. In the following we evaluate how the MSD changes with varying each of the above dimensionless parameters (15).

The MSD obtained for different values of the persistence number $P$ is shown in figure 4. As one can infer from figure 4 the MSD displays a ballistic behavior, $\langle \mathbf{\Delta r}^2(t) \rangle \sim t^2$, for short times $t/\tau_\parallel \lesssim 1$, for our...
choice of parameters). The practically pure ballistic motion is due to the persistence in random force acting on the tracer (there are no thermal fluctuations in our model). The higher the persistence number, the more space is explored by the tracer. From the equations (10) and (11), it is easy to see that the MSD should scale as $\langle \Delta r^2 \rangle \sim P^2 t^2$ in the ballistic regime, which is supported by the numerical results in figure 2. On the other hand, for long times ($t/\tau_\parallel \gtrsim 100$) the tracer motion is eventually randomized for all $P$ so that the normal diffusion sets in, $\langle \Delta r^2 (t) \rangle \sim t$. One can notice that a larger value of $P$ gives rise to an enhanced effective value of the diffusion coefficient.

Figure 4. The MSD, measured in units of $\dot{F}$, as a function of time, measured in units of $\tau_\parallel$, for three selected persistence number values $P$. All other dimensionless parameters are set to 1. The solid black lines are guides to the eye.

Varying parameter $Q = D_{\parallel \parallel} / \tau_\parallel / \dot{F}$ yields a nontrivial change of the MSD, see figure 5. By changing $Q$ one essentially alters the active diffusion constants $D_{\parallel \parallel}$ and $D_{\parallel \perp} = D_{\parallel \parallel} / d$. Compared to the case $Q = 1$, for $Q = 10$ the tracer is subjected to a larger value of correlated noise, which also affects short-time ballistic motion leading to a larger effective speed. However, the tracer is also exposed to a greater active diffusion constant $D_{\parallel \perp}$ or correlated noise along its lateral direction, causing a destruction of its ordered motion at earlier times if compared to the case $Q = 1$. As a consequence of this, the effective diffusion constant at long times is not markedly distinct between these two cases. On the other hand, for $Q = 0.1$ the lateral random force exerted on the tracer is sufficiently small, such that for a chosen $P = 1$, one obtains a pronounced ballistic regime spanning up to times $t/\tau_\parallel \approx 10$. Consequently, the effective diffusion constant at long times is noticeably larger with respect to the previous two cases.

The MSD for persistence number $P = 10$ and for diverse values of parameter $d$, quantifying the ratio of active diffusion constants along the main and lateral axis of the tracer, is shown in figure 6. The effect of changing $d$ is straightforward. Increasing $d$ above the reference value $d = 1$, corresponding to $D_{\parallel \perp} = D_{\parallel \parallel}$, the tracer exhibits longer ballistic movement due to elevated diffusion constant of the persistent active noise along its symmetry axis. In contrast, $d < 1$ signifies less persistent ballistic motion.

In figure 7 we show the MSD for $P = 10$ and two values of the parameter $w = \tau_\parallel / \tau_\perp$. Note that the measurements of time auto-correlations of forces $F_\parallel$ and $F_\perp$ in Brownian dynamics simulations suggest that
Figure 6. The MSD as a function of time for $P = 10$ and three selected values of parameter $d$. All other dimensionless parameters are set to 1. The solid black lines are guides to the eye.

Figure 7. The MSD as a function of time for $P = 10$ and $w = 1, 10$. All other dimensionless parameters are set to 1. The solid black lines are guides to the eye.

Figure 8. The MSD as a function of time for $P = 10$ and four selected values of parameter $b$. All other dimensionless parameters are set to 1. The solid black lines are guides to the eye.

$\tau_\| \geq \tau_\perp$ with $w \gtrsim 1$. Thus, figure 7 indicates that in the physically relevant region of parameter space $1 \leq w < 10$ the MSD is not appreciably sensitive to variations of $w$. This implies that in most practical cases one can set $w = 1$.

Finally, the effect of changing the parameter $b = l_T/l$ on the MSD is depicted in figure 8. As can be seen from the equation (12) larger values of $b$ correspond to a slower variation of tracer’s angular velocity, and thus to a longer persistence of motion. As before for early times we obtain a ballistic regime, while for longer times diffusive motion takes place. One notes that the duration of the ballistic regime grows with $b$. 
3.2. Probability distribution of displacements

The time evolution of probability distribution $P_x$ of tracer displacement $\Delta x = x - x_0$, with respect to some initial position $x_0$, obtained for some representative values of relevant parameters, is shown in figure 9. As one can infer from this figure, at early times, when the tracer displays ballistic motion, the probability distribution $P_x$ is bimodal with two peaks located at $\Delta x/l \approx \pm P$. As the time progresses, the height of these peaks decreases until the end of the ballistic regime. After a characteristic time $t/\tau_{\parallel}$ (in our case $t/\tau_{\parallel} = 30$) they completely disappear, and $P_x$ exhibits a plateau. Later in time (see the figure corresponding to $t/\tau_{\parallel} = 50$) the shoulders of $P_x$ subside, and with further increase in time $P_x$ crosses over to a purely Gaussian form. For $t/\tau_{\parallel} = 200$ the solid black line represents the best fit of $P_x$ to the form $P_x \propto (\Delta x/\sigma_x)^2 e^{-\Delta x^2/(2\sigma_x^2)}$, where $\sigma_x$ is a fit parameter.

Figure 9. The probability distribution $P_x$ of displacements $\Delta x$, measured in units of $l$, for four characteristic time values $t/\tau_{\parallel}$. Here we chose the same values of parameters as those used to obtain the cyan line in figure 6, $P = d = 10$ and $Q = w = b = 1$. For $t/\tau_{\parallel} = 200$ the solid black line provides the best fit of $P_x$ to a Gaussian form.

Figure 10. The probability distribution $P_r$ of displacements $\Delta r$, measured in units of $l$, for four characteristic time values $t/\tau_{\parallel}$. Here we chose the same values of parameters as those used to obtain the cyan line in figure 6, $P = d = 10$ and $Q = w = b = 1$. For $t/\tau_{\parallel} = 1$ the solid black line represents the best fit of $P_r$ to a Gaussian form. For $t/\tau_{\parallel} = 200$ the solid black line is the best fit of $P_r$ to the form $P_r \propto (\Delta r/\sigma_r)^2 e^{-\Delta r^2/(2\sigma_r^2)}$, where $\sigma_r$ is a fit parameter.
Gaussian form for sufficiently long times. The width of this Gaussian is directly related to the MSD presented in figure 6.

For the same parameter choice, the corresponding time evolution of the probability distribution $P_r$ of radial displacement $\Delta r = \sqrt{\Delta x^2 + \Delta y^2}$ is shown in figure 10. In this representation the initial two peak structure of $P_x$ presented in figure 9, maps onto a Gaussian centered at $\Delta r/\ell \approx P$. Later in time the peak of $P_r$ propagates to higher values of $\Delta r/\ell$, and develops a shoulder for smaller displacements $\Delta r/\ell$ (see figure 10 for $t/\tau_\parallel = 50$). For even longer times (in our case $t/\tau_\parallel = 50$) the shoulder becomes more pronounced and eventually the probability distribution attains the expected form $P_r = (\Delta r/\sigma_r) e^{-\Delta r^2/(2\sigma_r^2)}$, which is typical for the diffusive regime.

3.3. Comparison between the models

In Brownian dynamics simulations the tracer motion depends on its geometry (through the radius $R$ and the mobilities $\mu_{\parallel}$, $\mu_\perp$ and $\kappa$) and on the properties of the surrounding active bath (characterized by the packing fraction of active particles $\phi$ and their persistence number $P_\kappa$). For a given set of parameters of this system, we would like to find the matching parameters (15) in the effective Langevin description. To achieve this goal, we use the insights from Brownian dynamics simulations to numerically compute the physical quantities entering (15). We illustrate this mapping procedure for the example of a tracer of radius $R/\sigma = 5$ immersed in an active bath described by $P_\kappa = 80/3$ and $\phi \approx 0.08$. One can extract the effective self-propulsion force $\langle F_\parallel \rangle$ acting on the tracer, and the persistence times of the active noise by analyzing the statistic of force and torque (figure 2). This gives $\langle F_\parallel \rangle \approx 75 k_0 T/\sigma$, and $\tau_\parallel \approx \tau_\perp \approx \tau_\kappa \approx 0.45 \tau_\parallel$.

The translational active diffusivities $D_\parallel^A$ and $D_\perp^A$ follow directly from the MSDs along the polar axis $e_\parallel$ and the axis $e_\perp$ perpendicular to it, $\langle \Delta r_\parallel^2(t) \rangle$ and $\langle \Delta r_\perp^2(t) \rangle$, where $\tilde{r}_\parallel = P(1 + \xi_\parallel)$ and $\tilde{r}_\perp = P\xi_\perp$ are equations analogous to (10)–(11) but written in the eigenframe of the tracer (do not confuse $\langle \Delta r_\parallel^2(t) \rangle$ and $\langle \Delta r_\perp^2(t) \rangle$ with the previously introduced quantity $\langle \Delta r^2(t) \rangle = \langle \Delta x^2(t) \rangle + \langle \Delta y^2(t) \rangle$ referring to the lab system $xOy$). Although the colored noise (4) enters these equations, due to their simplicity, they can be solved analytically

\begin{align*}
\langle \Delta r_\parallel^2(t) \rangle &= P^2 t^2 + 2Q \left[ t - \left( 1 - e^{-t} \right) \right], \\
\langle \Delta r_\perp^2(t) \rangle &= \frac{2Q}{d} \left[ t - \frac{1}{w} \left( 1 - e^{-wt} \right) \right].
\end{align*}

Figure 11. The probability distribution $P_r$ of tracer displacements $\Delta r$, measured in units of $\sigma$, for four characteristic time values $t/\tau_\parallel$. The results obtained in Brownian dynamics simulations are shown as gray histograms; here the persistence number of an active particle was chosen to be $P_\kappa = 80/3$ and the bath packing fraction was set to $\phi \approx 0.08$. The solid black lines show $P_r$ for matching parameters of the coarse-grained model $P \approx 0.4$, $Q \approx 0.1$, $d \approx 1.5$, $w \approx 1$ and $b \approx 1.7$, without any free fitting parameter.
On the other hand, the same quantities can be measured in Brownian dynamics simulations. Our simulation results are presented in figure A1. From equations (16) and (17), in the limit of large times, one gets \( \langle \Delta r^2(t) \rangle \rightarrow P^2 t^2 \) and \( \langle \Delta r^2(t) \rangle \rightarrow 2Q t/d \). Converting these expressions back to the dimensional form, and fitting the data of figure A1 to them, we obtain \( \langle F \rangle \approx 75 k_B T/\sigma \), in perfect agreement with the above estimate from force statistics, and \( D^A \approx 5 \sigma^2/\tau_R \). On the other hand, in the limit of small times, one obtains \( \langle \Delta r^2(t) \rangle \rightarrow (P^2 + Q) t^2 \). Fitting the \( \langle \Delta r^2(t) \rangle \) data corresponding to this regime allows us to extract the diffusion constant \( D^A \approx 7.5 \sigma^2/\tau_R \), see inset of figure A1.

Figure A2 shows the orientational auto-correlation function \( C_\theta(t) = \langle e_{\parallel}(t + t_0) e_{\parallel}(t_0) \rangle_0 \) of the tracer measured in Brownian dynamics simulations; here \( e_{\parallel}(t) = \cos \theta(t) e_\parallel + \sin \theta(t) e_\perp \) is the tracer’s orientation vector, and the averaging is performed over different initial times \( t_0 \) and over 15 independent simulation runs. The obtained data can be nicely fitted to a simple exponential form \( C_\theta(t) = e^{-t/\tau_\theta} \), with \( \tau_\theta \approx 20 \tau_R \) being the orientational correlation time. We can argue that \( \tau_\theta \) should be close to \( 1/D^A \). Indeed, from equation (12) it follows that \( \langle [\theta(t) - \theta(0)]^2 \rangle \approx 2D^A \theta t, \ t \gg \tau_\perp \). On the other hand, since \( \tau_\theta \gg \tau_\perp \), the auto-correlation function can be written as \( C_\theta(t) = \langle \cos[\theta(t) - \theta(0)] \rangle = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \langle [\theta(t) - \theta(0)]^2 \rangle = 1 - D^A \theta \), which can be compared to \( C_\theta(t) \approx 1 - t/\tau_\theta \). This allows us to estimate the rotational diffusion constant of the coarse-grained model, \( D^A \approx 0.05 \tau_R^{-1} \).

Using the above findings and the values of tracer mobilities \( \mu_\parallel, \mu_\perp \) and \( \kappa \) from the appendix A, one can calculate the sought matching parameters of the effective Langevin model. This gives: \( P \approx 0.4, \ Q \approx 0.1, \ d \approx 1.5, \ w \approx 1 \) and \( b \approx 1.7 \). In figure 11 we show a comparison between the time evolution of probability distribution \( P_x \) of tracer displacements \( \Delta x \) obtained in Brownian dynamics simulations (gray histograms) and in the effective Langevin model (black solid curves). We achieve a very good quantitative agreement, confirming that our effective model correctly describes the tracer motion in an active bath.

4. Conclusion

We have studied the dynamics of a polar tracer with a concave surface in a bath consisting of active particles. By investigating the non-equilibrium statistics of the force and torque with which the active particles push against the tracer, we were able to fully determine a set of three effective Langevin equations for the tracer position and orientation. Thus, this procedure enabled us to reduce the complexity of the problem, by going from an involved many-body dynamics approach to a coarse-grained description of the bath, which appears in the tracer dynamics as a force drift and an exponentially correlated noise. Our effective Langevin equations contain five independent dimensionless parameters, which depend on the geometry of the tracer and the properties of active particles constituting the bath. For a given set of parameters of the original Brownian dynamics approach, we managed to construct a numerical mapping to obtain the matching parameters of the coarse-grained model without any free parameters. We demonstrated a very good quantitative agreement between the time evolution of the probability distribution of displacements obtained in Brownian dynamics simulations and in the effective Langevin model. In this way we have been able to reduce the computational efforts by several orders of magnitude. For example, to perform one run of a Brownian dynamics simulation up to time \( t/\tau_R = 1000 \) required about 12 h on 20 CPUs, while one run of the effective Langevin simulation for the same time \( t/\tau_R \) took only a few minutes on a single CPU. Further work is needed in order to establish an analytical connection between the parameters in our coarse-grained model and the parameters of the full many-body system in the Brownian dynamics simulations.

Polar tracers can harness energy from the noisy non-equilibrium environment of an active bath and thereby generate directed motion. Our work provides a complete effective description for the coupled translational and rotational tracer motion. It will help to further explore the capabilities of active baths for fueling directed transport, for example, with micro shuttles. An extension of this idea is to endow the polar tracers with some intrinsic information processing system so that they can sense their environment and act accordingly. Such smart micro shuttles can then use reinforcement learning to learn to perform some prescribed task. For example, in [70] it was demonstrated how smart active particles learn to optimize their travel time in a potential landscape.
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Appendix A. Brownian dynamics simulations

We consider a system of $N$ interacting active Brownian particles in two dimensions, which self-propel with a constant speed $v$ and have a mobility $\mu$. Their dynamics is described by overdamped stochastic equations [37]

$$\mathbf{r}_i = v \mathbf{u}_i - \mu \sum_{j \neq i} \nabla_{r_j} V(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j), \quad (A.1)$$

$$\dot{\theta}_i = \sqrt{2D_\theta} \eta_i. \quad (A.2)$$

Here $\mathbf{r}_i$ is position vector and $\mathbf{u}_i \equiv (\cos \theta_i, \sin \theta_i)$ the unit orientation vector of particle $i$, $D_R$ denotes its rotational diffusion constant, and $\eta_i$ is Gaussian white noise of zero mean and unit variance: $\langle \eta_i \rangle = 0$, $\langle \eta_i(t)\eta_j(t') \rangle = \delta_{ij} \delta(t - t')$. We perform simulations in the regime of large $v$, which is physically most interesting. This allows us to neglect the effect of translational thermal diffusivity in (A.1). Active particles interact with each other through pairwise forces, which are given by the negative gradient of the Weeks–Chandler–Andersen (WCA) potential

$$V(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{cases} 4\varepsilon \left[ \left( \frac{\sigma}{|\mathbf{r}|} \right)^{12} - \left( \frac{\sigma}{|\mathbf{r}|} \right)^6 \right] + \varepsilon, & |\mathbf{r}| \leq 2^{1/6}\sigma, \\ 0, & |\mathbf{r}| > 2^{1/6}\sigma. \end{cases}$$

Here $\varepsilon$ is the strength of the potential and $\sigma$ is the characteristic length where the potential takes the value $\varepsilon$. We carry out simulations in a rectangular box of size $L \times L$ and use periodic boundary conditions [37].

We use $\sigma$ as the unit of length, persistence time $\tau_p = D_R^{-1} = \sigma^2/(3\mu_0k_B T)$ of an active particle as the unit of time, and we measure energies in units of $k_B T$, where $T$ is the temperature of the solvent surrounding active particles (not to be confused with the torque $T$ used in the main text). We introduce the persistence number $P_{\sigma T} = v \tau_p \sigma/k_B T$, which measures the distance an active particle travels in approximately the same direction. The equations (A.1) and (A.2) can be transformed into a dimensionless form with two independent dimensionless parameters: the persistence number $P_{\sigma T}$ and the potential strength $\varepsilon/k_B T$. The persistence number $P_{\sigma T}$, together with the area packing fraction of active particles, $\phi = N \sigma^2 \pi/(4L^2)$, determine the properties of the active bath.

Here we consider a polar tracer immersed in the bath of interacting active particles (see figure 1). We imagine our tracer as a semicircle of radius $R$ composed of particles having effective diameter $\sigma$. Then, an active particle interacts with a particle of the semicircle through a repulsive contact force, derived from the WCA potential, provided that the distance between them is smaller than $2^{1/6}\sigma$. The position of the polar tracer is described by the coordinates of its center of mass, $\mathbf{r} = (x, y)$, and the angle its symmetry axis makes with the $x$-axis of the lab frame (figure 1(a)). Now the equations of motion of the tracer can be written in the form

$$\dot{x} = \mu_1 F_x \cos \theta - \mu_1 F_y \sin \theta, \quad (A.3)$$

$$\dot{y} = \mu_1 F_x \sin \theta + \mu_1 F_y \cos \theta, \quad (A.4)$$

$$\dot{\theta} = \kappa T. \quad (A.5)$$

Here, $F_x$ and $F_y$ are the projections on $\mathbf{e}_x$ and $\mathbf{e}_y$ of the resulting force exerted by active particles on the tracer, and similarly $T$ is the projection on the unit vector $\mathbf{e}_z = \mathbf{e}_x \times \mathbf{e}_y$ of the resulting torque on the tracer. The translational mobilities of the tracer are denoted by $\mu_1$ and $\mu_\perp$, while its rotational mobility is denoted by $\kappa$.

The number of active particles is fixed to $N = 10^4$, and the area $L^2$ of the simulation box is adjusted to obtain the required packing fraction $\phi$. We set $\varepsilon/k_B T = 100$, $R/\sigma = 5$, $\mu_1/\mu = 0.2$, $\mu_\perp/\mu = 0.1$ and $\kappa \sigma^2/(3 \mu) = 10^{-3}$ (unless otherwise stated). Equations (A.1)–(A.5) are integrated using a simple Euler scheme with a time step of $\delta t/\tau_R = 10^{-3}$. The simulation time goes up to $t/\tau_R = 5000$, and all results are averaged over 15 independent simulation runs.

A typical snapshot from our Brownian dynamics simulation is presented in figure 1(b). The probability distributions of $F_x$, $F_y$, and $T$ and their time auto-correlation functions are shown in figure 2, while the cross-correlation function $\langle F_x(t_0) T(t_0 + t) \rangle$ obtained in this approach is presented in figure 3. In figure 11 we give the probability distributions $P_\sigma$ of tracer displacement $\Delta x$ for several characteristic times. The MSDs $\langle \Delta r_x^2(t) \rangle$ and $\langle \Delta r_y^2(t) \rangle$, introduced in the section 3.3 of the main text, are displayed in figure A1. The auto-correlation function $C_\sigma(t) = \langle e_x(t) e_x(t_0) \rangle_{t_0}$, quantifying the correlation of tracer’s orientation vector $e_x(t) = \cos \theta(t) e_x + \sin \theta(t) e_y$, is shown in figure A2 and discussed in the main text.
The MSDs \(\langle \Delta r^2(t) \rangle\) and \(\langle \Delta r^2_\perp(t) \rangle\), defined in the section 3.3 of the main text, measured in units of \(\sigma^2\), as a function of time \(t/\tau_R\). The inset shows the same quantities for small times \(t/\tau_R\). The black solid lines are guides to the eye. The active bath is characterized by the parameters \(P_{er} = 80/3\) and \(\phi \approx 0.08\).

The orientational auto-correlation function \(C_\circ(t) = \langle e_\parallel(t + t_0)e_\parallel(t_0) \rangle\) versus time \(t/\tau_R\). The black solid line is the best fit of the data to the form \(C_\circ(t) = e^{-t/\tau_\circ}\), where \(\tau_\circ\) is the orientational correlation time. The active bath is characterized by the parameters \(P_{er} = 80/3\) and \(\phi \approx 0.08\).

The average self-propulsion force \(\langle F_\parallel \rangle\) acting on the tracer, measured in units of \(k_B T/\sigma\), as a function of packing fraction \(\phi\) of active particles in the bath, for two selected values of the persistence number \(P_{er} = 40, 80/3\).

The influence of bath parameters \(\phi\) and \(P_{er}\) on the average force \(\langle F_\parallel \rangle\) experienced by the tracer is presented in figure A3. The force \(\langle F_\parallel \rangle\) increases with active particles’ persistence \(P_{er}\), while it saturates as the packing fraction \(\phi\) of active particles is increased for a fixed \(P_{er}\). Note that we considered only homogeneous active baths with particle packing fractions \(\phi\) smaller than the threshold value above which the motility-induced phase separation of the bath takes place [1].

Finally, we consider tracers of three different sizes \(R/\sigma = 5, 27, 80\) immersed in a bath characterized by \(P_{er} = 80/3\) and \(\phi \approx 0.08\). Their MSD \(\langle \Delta r^2(t) \rangle\) is presented in figure A4. We simulated the tracer trajectories up to times \(t/\tau_R = 5000\). The tracer of size \(R/\sigma = 5\) reaches a diffusive regime, characterized by
When increasing \( R \), it is expected, however, that the tracers of large size should reach the diffusive regime for sufficiently large times; due to high computational costs these times were not accessible in our simulations.

\[
\langle \Delta r^2(t) \rangle \sim t, \quad \text{already at times } t/\tau_R \gtrsim 100. \quad \text{On the other side, even for much larger times } t/\tau_R \gtrsim 1000 \text{ the MSD of tracers of size } R/\sigma = 27 \text{ and } R/\sigma = 80 \text{ still displays super-diffusive motion, characterized by } \langle \Delta r^2(t) \rangle \sim t^\alpha \text{ with } \alpha > 1. \quad \text{This effect we attribute to a significant decrease of tracer rotational mobility when increasing } R.
\]
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